

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/743,937	12/23/2003	Thomas G. Sierakowski	Liner-602 9370		
7590 10/19/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Christopher John Rudy			BLANKENSHIP, GREGORY A		
Ste. 8 209 Huron Ave	: .		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Port Huron, MI 48060			3612		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/743,937	SIERAKOWSKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Greg Blankenship	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/23/03</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-···	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/04, 4/27/04 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claims 7 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 7, line 1, "Th lin r of claim 6, wh r in" should be -The liner of claim 6, wherein--;

Claim 7, line 2, "memb r" should be -member--;

Claim 7, line 2, "interv ning" should be -intervening--;

Claim 15, line 2, "memb r" should be –member--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vitoorapakorn (6,203,090).

Vitoorapakorn discloses a truck bed liner (10) that has a substantially flat base portion with raised ribs extending therefrom. A set of ribs extends in a front-to-back direction in a central area of the liner (10). On each side of the central area are obliquely extending ribs in a mirror image of one another with respect to the central axis.

4. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gray (4,128,271).

Gray discloses a truck bed liner (12) that has a plurality of ribs (13). The ribs (13) have obliquely spanning rib member parts. A trough (14) is formed between each rib (13). Each interior rib is located between adjacent ribs. Since every rib has the claimed obliquely

Application/Control Number: 10/743,937

Art Unit: 3612

spanning rib member part, then the opposing outsides of two separate adjacent ribs in the bank is also disclosed.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vitoorapakorn (6,203,090) in view of Gray (4,128,271).

Vitoorapakorn does not disclose the obliquely extending outer rib member part.

Gray teaches a liner having ribs with obliquely extending outer rib member parts.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the outer rib member parts of Vitoorapakorn extend at an oblique angle to the horizontal top of the rib, as taught by Gray, to match the cross-section of the truck bed to which it will be attached.

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vitoorapakorn (6,203,090) in view of Wayne (4,341,412).

Vitoorapakorn does not disclose the side support members of the ribs extending substantially normal to the crest member of the ribs.

Wayne teaches a liner having ribs with side support members that extend substantially normal to the crest member of the ribs.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,937

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to make the side support members of Vitoorapakorn's ribs extend substantially normal

to the crest member of the ribs, as taught by Wayne, to provide the desired resistance to

bending when subjected to heavy loads.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Greg Blankenship whose telephone number is (703) 305-0223.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 746-3511, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label "FOR

DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY", "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

gab October 14, 2004 D. GLENN DAYOAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600